arXiv:math/0405416v1 [math.CA] 21 May 2004

AN AUGMENTATION OF THE PHASE SPACE OF THE SYSTEM OF TYPE $A_4^{(1)}$

NOBUHIKO TAHARA

Abstract. We investigate the differential system with affine Weyl group symmetry of type $A_4^{(1)}$ and construct a space which parametrizes all meromorphic solutions of it. To demonstrate our method based on singularity analysis and affine Weyl group symmetry, we first study the system of type $A_2^{(1)}$, which is the equivalent of the fourth Painlevé equation, and obtain the space which augments the original phase space of the system by adding spaces of codimension 1. For the system of type $A_4^{(1)}$, codimension 2 spaces should be added to the phase space of the system in addition to codimension 1 spaces.

1. Introduction

The differential system of type $A_l^{(1)}$ ($l = 2, 3, \dots$), proposed by Noumi and Yamada [3], is a system of autonomous ordinary differential equations for $(l+1)$ unknown functions $f_0, \dots, f_l$ with complex parameters $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_l$ satisfying $\alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_l = 1$. The system has the symmetry of the affine Weyl group of type $A_l^{(1)}$, where $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_l$ are considered as simple roots of the affine root system of type $A_l^{(1)}$. It should be noted that the system of type $A_l^{(1)}$ is equivalent to the fourth Painlevé equation when $l = 2$, and to the fifth when $l = 3$.

The purpose of this paper is to construct a parameter space of all meromorphic solutions (including holomorphic solutions) of the system of type $A_4^{(1)}$. We call this space an *augmented* phase space, and the process to obtain an augmented phase space an *augmentation* of the original phase space, which is the parameter space of all holomorphic solutions. Such spaces have been constructed in the case of Painlevé equations as “spaces of initial conditions” [4]. They are constructed by means of successive blowing-up procedures at accessible singular points. But in our case, namely for the system of type $A_4^{(1)}$, the calculations in blowing-up procedures are very complicated, so we take another approach based on singularity analysis, that is, the construction of the formal meromorphic solutions of the system in the form of Laurent expansions at an arbitrary point containing several arbitrary constants. We also analyse the noteworthy connection between the formal meromorphic solutions and the affine Weyl group symmetry the system has.

In the case of $l = 2n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), the differential system of type $A_l^{(1)}$ is defined by

$$\left(A_l^{(1)}\right): \quad f_i' = f_i \left(\sum_{1 \leqslant r \leqslant n} f_{i+2r-1} - \sum_{1 \leqslant r \leqslant n} f_{i+2r} \right) + \alpha_i,$$

where $i = 0, \dots, 2n$ and $'$ stands for the derivation with respect to the independent variable t. The indices of f and α should be read as elements of $\mathbb{Z}/(l+1)\mathbb{Z}$. The system $\left(A_l^{(1)}\right)$ gives a structure of a differential field to the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(\alpha; f)$ of $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_l)$ and $f = (f_0, \dots, f_l)$, and the system admits an action of the extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}\left(A_l^{(1)}\right)$ of type $A_l^{(1)}$ as Bäcklund transformation group. Here Bäcklund transformation means an automorphism of the differential field $\mathbb{C}(\alpha; f)$ which commutes with the derivation. The group $\widetilde{W}\left(A_l^{(1)}\right)$ is generated by the automorphisms $s_0, \dots, s_l$ and π with fundamental relations

$$\begin{aligned} &s_i^2 = 1, \quad s_i s_j s_i = s_j s_i s_j \quad (j = i \pm 1), \quad s_i s_j = s_j s_i \quad (j \neq i \pm 1); \\ &\pi^{l+1} = 1, \quad \pi s_i = s_{i+1} \pi \end{aligned}$$

for $i,j=0,1,\dots,l$. The actions of s_i and π on α_j and f_j are given by

$$s_i(\alpha_j)=\alpha_j-\alpha_i a_{ij},\quad s_i(f_j)=f_j+\frac{\alpha_i}{f_i}u_{ij};\quad \pi(\alpha_j)=\alpha_{j+1},\quad \pi(f_j)=f_{j+1} \tag{1.1}$$

for $i,j=0,1,\dots,l$, where $A=(a_{ij})_{0\leqslant i,j\leqslant l}$ is the generalized Cartan matrix of type $A_l^{(1)}$:

$$a_{jj}=2,\quad a_{ij}=-1\ \ (j=i\pm 1),\quad a_{ij}=0\ \ (j\neq i,i\pm 1), \tag{1.2}$$

and $U=(u_{ij})_{0\leqslant i,j\leqslant l}$ is the orientation matrix:

$$u_{ij}=\pm 1\ \ (j=i\pm 1),\quad u_{ij}=0\ \ (j\neq i\pm 1). \tag{1.3}$$

In this paper we investigate the system $\left(A_l^{(1)}\right)$ in the case of $l=2$ and $l=4$, namely, the system $\left(A_2^{(1)}\right)$ and the system $\left(A_4^{(1)}\right)$, respectively. Although the main object of the paper is the system $\left(A_4^{(1)}\right)$, we first study the system $\left(A_2^{(1)}\right)$, in Section 2, to clarify our method. Section 2 is divided into three subsections. In the first subsection, we obtain all formal meromorphic solutions of the system $\left(A_2^{(1)}\right)$, observing where arbitrary constants appear. These solutions are classified into three families of formal meromorphic solutions, each of which corresponds to Bäcklund transformation s_i for some $i=0,1,2$. In the second subsection, we choose an appropriate coordinate system for each family of formal meromorphic solutions in order to extract arbitrary constants, or more precisely, in order that the new coordinates express the formal meromorphic solutions as formal holomorphic solutions and so that the arbitrary constants appear in their constant terms. By the use of such appropriate coordinate system, the convergence of formal meromorphic solutions is shown. In fact, for each family of meromorphic solutions, we obtain two different coordinate systems. In the third subsection, we construct a fiber space $\mathbb{E}$ over the space of parameters α, so that each fiber of the space $\mathbb{E}$ parametrizes all holomorphic solutions and meromorphic solutions. Holomorphic solutions correspond to the points of a 3-dimensional affine subspace of the fiber, and three families of meromorphic solutions correspond to three 2-dimensional affine subspaces. We also study mappings from $\mathbb{E}$ to itself associated with Bäcklund transformations. Our coordinate systems of $\mathbb{E}$ are convenient for studying these mappings.

The latter sections are devoted to the study of the system $\left(A_4^{(1)}\right)$. Sections 3, 4 and 5 are $A_4^{(1)}$ versions of Subsections 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, respectively. In Section 3, we study formal meromorphic solutions of the system $\left(A_4^{(1)}\right)$. There are fifteen families of formal meromorphic solutions, which are divided into three classes corresponding to s_i, s_js_i and $s_ks_js_i$, or rather the type (i), (ij) and (ijk) for some $i,j,k=0,\dots,4$. In Section 4, we choose a suitable coordinate system for each family of formal meromorphic solutions. The process in the case of type (i) is almost the same as the system $\left(A_2^{(1)}\right)$. In the case of type (ij), we first apply the process corresponding to the type (i) and then proceed to the next and final process. In the case of type (ijk), we apply the process of the type (ij), and then proceed to the final process. In Section 5, we construct a fiber space $\mathbb{E}$ over the space of parameters α of the system $\left(A_4^{(1)}\right)$ in the same way as in Subsection 2.3, and study the mappings from $\mathbb{E}$ to itself. In this case, each fiber $\mathbb{E}(\alpha)$ consists of a 5-dimensional space, five 4-dimensional spaces and ten 3-dimensional spaces, any two of which do not intersect. The 5-dimensional space is a parameter space of the holomorphic solutions, and each 4-dimensional or 3-dimensional space is a parameter space of a 4-parameter or a 3-parameter family of meromorphic solutions, respectively.

The results in Section 5 are easily translated to the notion of the defining manifold (a fiber space over the space of independent variable t, whose fibers are the spaces of initial conditions) of the Hamiltonian system associated with the system $\left(A_4^{(1)}\right)$. In the last section, Section 6, we give a list of local coordinate systems of the defining manifold and the form of the Hamiltonian functions on the charts.

2. THE SYSTEM OF TYPE $A_2^{(1)}$

The system of differential equations with affine Weyl group symmetry of type $A_2^{(1)}$ is explicitly written as

$$\begin{aligned} f_0' &= f_0(f_1 - f_2) + \alpha_0, \\ f_1' &= f_1(f_2 - f_0) + \alpha_1, \\ f_2' &= f_2(f_0 - f_1) + \alpha_2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $' = d/dt$.

2.1. **Formal meromorphic solutions.** For an arbitrarily fixed $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, let us consider a formal meromorphic solution of the system (2.1) of the form

$$f_i = \sum_{n=-r}^{\infty} c_n^i \, T^n, \quad T := t - t_0 \quad (i = 0, 1, 2) \tag{2.2}$$

where r is a positive integer and $c_{-r} = \left(c_{-r}^0, c_{-r}^1, c_{-r}^2\right) \neq (0, 0, 0)$.

Substituting this into (2.1) and comparing the coefficients of T^{n-1} on both sides, we have

$$nc_n^i = \sum_{k=-r}^{n+r-1} c_k^i \, G_{n-k-1}^i + \delta_{0,n-1}\alpha_i \quad (i = 0, 1, 2) \tag{2.3}$$

for $n \geqslant -2r + 1$ where $G_n^i = c_n^{i+1} - c_n^{i+2}$ and $\delta_{\cdot\cdot}$ is the Kronecker delta. We set $c_n = \left(c_n^0, c_n^1, c_n^2\right) = (0, 0, 0)$ for any $n \leqslant -r - 1$, by convention.

We first see $r = 1$ by deriving a contradiction. For this purpose, we assume $r > 1$ and look into the equations (2.3) for $n = -2r + 1, -2r + 2, \ldots, -r$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= c_{-r}^i \, G_{-r}^i, \\ 0 &= c_{-r}^i \, G_{-r+1}^i + c_{-r+1}^i \, G_{-r}^i, \\ 0 &= c_{-r}^i \, G_{-r+2}^i + c_{-r+1}^i \, G_{-r+1}^i + c_{-r+2}^i \, G_{-r}^i, \\ &\vdots \\ 0 &= c_{-r}^i \, G_{-2}^i + c_{-r+1}^i \, G_{-3}^i + \cdots + c_{-3}^i \, G_{-r+1}^i + c_{-2}^i \, G_{-r}^i, \\ -rc_{-r}^i &= c_{-r}^i \, G_{-1}^i + c_{-r+1}^i \, G_{-2}^i + \cdots + c_{-2}^i \, G_{-r+1}^i + c_{-1}^i \, G_{-r}^i. \end{aligned}$$

The first equation, for $i = 0, 1, 2$, is solved as

$$c_{-r} = (a, a, a), \ (a, 0, 0), \ (0, a, 0), \ (0, 0, a),$$

where a is an arbitrary non-zero constant. Note that $G_{-r}^i + c_{-r}^i \neq 0$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ in every case of the values of c_{-r}. Then these equations enables us to find out either $c_{-1}^i = 0$ or $G_{-1}^i = -r$, for each $i = 0, 1, 2$, as follows. If $c_{-r}^i = 0$ for some i, then $G_{-r}^i \neq 0$ by $G_{-r}^i + c_{-r}^i \neq 0$ and the above equations yield $c_{-r+1}^i = \cdots = c_{-1}^i = 0$. On the other hand, if $c_{-r}^i \neq 0$ for some i, we obtain $G_{-r}^i = 0$ from the first equation, and hence, by the other equations, $G_{-r+1}^i = G_{-r+2}^i = \cdots = G_{-2}^i = 0$ and $G_{-1}^i = -r$. Therefore $c_{-r}^i = 0$ for some i implies $c_{-1}^i = 0$ and $c_{-r}^i \neq 0$ for some i implies $G_{-1}^i = -r$.

Let us derive a contradiction in each case of c_{-r}. In the case of $c_{-r} = (0, 0, a)$, for $a \neq 0$, we obtain $c_{-1}^0 = c_{-1}^1 = 0$, $G_{-1}^2 = -r$ by the above and, since $G_{-1}^2 = c_{-1}^0 - c_{-1}^1$ by definition, $r = 0$, which contradicts the assumption $r > 1$. We have the contradiction in the case of $c_{-r} = (0, a, 0)$ or $c_{-r} = (a, 0, 0)$, similarly. In the case of $c_{-r} = (a, a, a)$, for $a \neq 0$, we have $G_{-1}^0 = G_{-1}^1 = G_{-1}^2 = -r$, which contradicts $G_{-1}^0 + G_{-1}^1 + G_{-1}^2 = \left(c_{-1}^1 - c_{-1}^2\right) + \left(c_{-1}^2 - c_{-1}^0\right) + \left(c_{-1}^0 - c_{-1}^1\right) = 0$. Thus we have shown that $r = 1$.

Now we determine the coefficients $c_n = \left(c_n^0, c_n^1, c_n^2\right)$ of the expansion (2.2) for $n \geqslant -1$ by the equations (2.3). For $n = -1$, we have

$$(-1)c_{-1}^i = c_{-1}^i\left(c_{-1}^{i+1} - c_{-1}^{i+2}\right) \quad (i = 0, 1, 2)$$

and it follows that

$$c_{-1} = (-1,0,1),\ (1,-1,0),\ (0,1,-1).$$

For $n \geqslant 0$ the equations (2.3) can be written as a system of linear equations

$$\big(n - G^i_{-1}\big)c^i_n - c^i_{-1}c^{i+1}_n + c^i_{-1}c^{i+2}_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c^i_k\, G^i_{n-k-1} + \delta_{0,n-1}\alpha_i \quad (i=0,1,2)$$

with respect to $c_n = \big(c^0_n, c^1_n, c^2_n\big)$, and hence the coefficients c_n are successively determined as polynomials of $\big\{\, c^i_k, \alpha_i\,; i=0,1,2,\ k=0,\dots,n-1\,\big\}$ unless $\det P_n = 0$, where P_n is the coefficient matrix of the linear system:

$$P_n = \begin{bmatrix} n - G^0_{-1} & -c^0_{-1} & c^0_{-1} \\ c^1_{-1} & n - G^1_{-1} & -c^1_{-1} \\ -c^2_{-1} & c^2_{-1} & n - G^2_{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

When $\det P_n = 0$ for some n and the linear system has a solution, the solution contains arbitrary constants, the number of which is equal to $\dim\ker P_n$.

Let us observe the expansion (2.2) precisely in the case of $c_{-1} = (-1,0,1)$. The expansions in the other cases are easily obtained by the use of cyclic rotations. We first note that

$$P_n = \begin{bmatrix} n+1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & n-2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & n+1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \det P_n = (n+2)n(n-2).$$

We can see that $\dim\ker P_0 = 1$ and the linear system for $n=0$ is solved as $c^1_0 = 0$ and $c^0_0 = c^2_0$, which is arbitrary. The coefficients c^i_1 for $i=0,1,2$ are uniquely determined depending on the value of $c^0_0 = c^2_0$. For $n=2$, we can verify that $\dim\ker P_2 = 1$ and the solution $c_2 = \big(c^0_2, c^1_2, c^2_2\big)$ of the linear system is determined so that c^1_2 is an arbitrary constant while the other c^0_2 and c^2_2 are unique depending on the value of c^1_2. The coefficients c_n for $n \geqslant 3$ are uniquely determined by c^0_0 and c^1_2. Thus we have obtained the expansion of the formal meromorphic solution as

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= -\frac{1}{T} + c^0_0 + \frac{(2\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) - \big(c^0_0\big)^2}{3}\,T + O(T^2), \\ f_1 &= \qquad\qquad - \alpha_1 T + c^1_2\, T^2 + O(T^3), \\ f_2 &= \frac{1}{T} + c^0_0 + \frac{(\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2) + \big(c^0_0\big)^2}{3}\,T + O(T^2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where c^0_0 and c^1_2 are arbitrary constants which are free from the system (2.1). Hence this formal solution depends on two arbitrary constants. Note that we do not consider the position of the pole, t_0, as an arbitrary constant, since the system (2.1) is autonomous.

Let us set

$$\operatorname{Res} f = (\operatorname{Res}_{t=t_0} f_0, \operatorname{Res}_{t=t_0} f_1, \operatorname{Res}_{t=t_0} f_2)$$

for a set of formal meromorphic functions $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2)$ at $t = t_0$. Then the above solution (2.4) can be indicated by $\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,1)$. All formal solutions of the system (2.1) with $\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,1)$ defines a 2-parameter family of formal solutions. The other 2-parameter families are also defined by the formal solutions with $\operatorname{Res} f = (1,-1,0)$ or with $\operatorname{Res} f = (0,1,-1)$.

In the rest of this subsection, we mention the relations between these three 2-parameter families of formal meromorphic solutions and the Bäcklund transformations s_i for $i=0,1,2$. For example, let f be the solution with $\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,1)$ and let $g_i = s_1(f_i)$ and $\beta_i = s_1(\alpha_i)$ for $i=0,1,2$, namely, from (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3),

$$g_0 = f_0 - \frac{\alpha_1}{f_1}, \quad g_1 = f_1, \quad g_2 = f_2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{f_1}; \quad \beta_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1, \quad \beta_1 = \alpha_1, \quad \beta_2 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_1.$$

Then $g = (g_0, g_1, g_2)$ satisfies the system

$$g_i' = g_i(g_{i+1} - g_{i+2}) + \beta_i, \quad (i=0,1,2)$$

TABLE 1. Classification of the families of solutions of the system $\left(A_2^{(1)}\right)$

type	$\operatorname{Res} f$	corresponding BT	# of arbitrary constants
$(\varnothing)$	$(0,0,0)$	id	3
(1)	$(-1,0,1)$	s_1	2
(2)	$(1,-1,0)$	s_2	
(0)	$(0,1,-1)$	s_0	

and it has the series expansion given by

$$g_0 = \left(c_0^0 + \frac{c_2^1}{\beta_1}\right) + O(T), \quad g_1 = 0 - \beta_1 T + c_2^1 T^2 + O(T^3), \quad g_2 = \left(c_0^0 - \frac{c_2^1}{\beta_1}\right) + O(T)$$

without terms of negative powers of T. This fact means that, when $\alpha_1 (= \beta_1) \neq 0$, the formal solution with $\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,1)$ is related, via Bäcklund transformation s_1, to the formal holomorphic solution of the system (2.1) with parameters $s_1(\alpha) = \beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ under the initial condition

$$g_0(t_0) = c_0^0 + \frac{c_2^1}{\beta_1}, \quad g_1(t_0) = 0, \quad g_2(t_0) = c_0^0 - \frac{c_2^1}{\beta_1}.$$

In this sense, we say that the solution with $\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,1)$ corresponds to the Bäcklund transformation s_1 and that the formal solution is of type (1). Then the solutions with $\operatorname{Res} f = (1,-1,0)$ and $(0,1,-1)$ are of type (2) and (0), respectively. Generalizing the terminology, we say that the 3-parameter family of holomorphic solutions is of type $(\varnothing)$ (see Table 1).

2.2. **Coordinates for formal meromorphic solutions.** In this subsection, we prove the convergence of the formal meromorphic solutions (2.4) by choosing suitable coordinate systems so that the arbitrary constants in the formal solutions are interpreted as initial conditions of the holomorphic differential systems in the new coordinate systems.

Let $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2)$ be the solution (2.4) with $\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,1)$. We first notice that $f_0 + f_1 + f_2 = 2c_0^0 + T$ and that f_0 has a simple pole while f_1 has a simple zero at $t = t_0$. Then $1/f_0$ has a simple zero, and moreover, the expansion of $f_0 f_1$ is of the form

$$f_0 f_1 = \alpha_1 - \left(c_2^1 + \alpha_1 c_0^0\right) T + O(T^2).$$

Noting the position of the arbitrary constant c_2^1, we multiply $\alpha_1 - f_0 f_1$ by f_0. Then we have

$$f_0(\alpha_1 - f_0 f_1) = \left(c_2^1 + \alpha_1 c_0^0\right) + O(T),$$

where c_2^1 is contained in the initial value at $t = t_0$.

Based on such an observation, we introduce the transformation

$$u_0 = 1/f_0, \quad u_1 = f_0(\alpha_1 - f_0 f_1), \quad u_0 + u_1 + u_2 = f_0 + f_1 + f_2. \tag{2.5}$$

We can verify that the transformation (2.5) changes the system (2.1) into the holomorphic system

$$\begin{aligned} u_0' &= 2u_0^3 u_1 - (\alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 - 1)u_0^2 + (u_1 + u_2)u_0 - 1, \\ u_1' &= -3u_0^2 u_1^2 + (2\alpha_0 + 4\alpha_1 - 1)u_0 u_1 - (u_1 + u_2)u_1 - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)\alpha_1, \\ u_0' + u_1' + u_2' &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Now take a solution of the new system (2.6) holomorphic at $t = t_0$ with $u_0(t_0) = 0$, $u_1(t_0) = h_1$, $u_2(t_0) = h_2$ by Cauchy's existence and uniqueness theorem. Then, since

$$u_0'(t_0) = -1, \quad u_0''(t_0) = -(h_1 + h_2),$$

we have the Taylor expansion of the holomorphic solution as

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= -T - \tfrac{1}{2}(h_1+h_2)\,T^2 + O(T^3),\\ u_1 &= h_1 + O(T),\\ u_2 &= h_2 + O(T). \end{aligned}$$

Transform this solution $u(t) = (u_0(t), u_1(t), u_2(t))$ by (2.5), then we have a meromorphic solution of (2.1) expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= 1/u_0 = -\tfrac{1}{T} + \tfrac{1}{2}(h_1+h_2) + O(T),\\ f_1 &= u_0(\alpha_1 - u_0u_1) = -\alpha_1 T - \big(h_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_1(h_1+h_2)\big)\,T^2 + O(T^3),\\ f_2 &= u_0 + u_1 + u_2 - 1/u_0 - u_0(\alpha_1 - u_0u_1) = \tfrac{1}{T} + \tfrac{1}{2}(h_1+h_2) + O(T), \end{aligned}$$

which coincides formally with the formal solutions (2.4) under the one-to-one correspondence

$$c_0^0 = \tfrac{1}{2}(h_1+h_2), \quad c_2^1 = h_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_1(h_1+h_2).$$

Thus we have proved the convergence of the formal meromorphic solution (2.4) with $\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,1)$, or of type (1).

From the above result, it follows that the domain of definition of the system (2.1) can be extended from the affine space $\{\,(f_0,f_1,f_2)\in\mathbb{C}^3\,\}$ to the space obtained by identification of $\{\,(f_0,f_1,f_2)\in\mathbb{C}^3\,\}$ and $\{\,(u_0,u_1,u_2)\in\mathbb{C}^3\,\}$ via (2.5). The identified space is considered to be a disjoint union of the original phase space $\{\,(f_0,f_1,f_2)\in\mathbb{C}^3\,\}$ and the 2-dimensional affine space $\{\,(u_0,u_1,u_2)\in\mathbb{C}^3\,;u_0=0\,\}$. The added 2-dimensional affine space is considered to be a parameter space of the 2-parameter family of solutions with $\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,1)$. We call such an extension of the domain of definition an *augmentation* of the phase space.

We note that the same argument can be done by another transformation

$$v_2 = 1/f_2, \quad v_1 = f_2(-\alpha_1 - f_2f_1), \quad v_0+v_1+v_2 = f_0+f_1+f_2. \tag{2.7}$$

The affine space $\{\,(v_0,v_1,v_2)\in\mathbb{C}^3\,;v_2=0\,\}$ is also the parameter space of the same 2-parameter family of solutions with $\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,1)$ and isomorphic to $\{u_0=0\}$.

In the end of this subsection, we observe how s_i $(i=0,1,2)$ act on the variables u_0,u_1,u_2 and v_0,v_1,v_2. The actions of s_0 on u_0,u_1,u_2 are calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} s_0(u_0) &= s_0(1/f_0) = 1/s_0(f_0) = 1/f_0 = u_0,\\ s_0(u_1) &= s_0(f_0(\alpha_1 - f_0f_1))\\ &= s_0(f_0)(s_0(\alpha_1) - s_0(f_0)s_0(f_1))\\ &= f_0\Big(\alpha_0+\alpha_1 - f_0\big(f_1 + \tfrac{\alpha_0}{f_0}\big)\Big)\\ &= f_0(\alpha_1 - f_0f_1) = u_1,\\ s_0(u_2) &= (f_0+f_1+f_2) - (u_0+u_1) = u_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain the action of s_1 as

$$s_1(u_0) = u_0 - \frac{\alpha_1}{u_1}, \quad s_1(u_1) = u_1, \quad s_1(u_2) = u_2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{u_1}.$$

However we see that the forms of $s_2(u_i)$ for $i=0,1,2$ are not so simple as above. On the other hand, by (2.7), we see

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(v_0) = v_0 - \frac{\alpha_1}{v_1}, \quad s_1(v_1) = v_1, \quad s_1(v_2) = v_2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{v_1},\\ s_2(v_0) = v_0, \quad s_2(v_1) = v_1, \quad s_2(v_2) = v_2, \end{aligned}$$

but the forms of $s_0(v_i)$ for $i=0,1,2$ are complicated. Hence the coordinate system u is convenient to observe the action of s_0 while v is convenient to see the action of s_2. This is the reason why we take both systems u and v. In the next subsection, u and v will be distinguished by the labels with $-$ and $+$, respectively.

We can obtain the similar results in the cases of $\operatorname{Res} f = (1,-1,0)$ and of $\operatorname{Res} f = (0,1,-1)$, obviously.

2.3. **Augmentation of the phase space and Bäcklund transformations.** We now define a fiber space $\mathbb{E}$ over the parameter space

$$V = \{\, \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \,; \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1 \,\},$$

each fiber $\mathbb{E}(\alpha)$ of which is the augmented phase space parametrizing all meromorphic solutions of the system (2.1).

Let $I = \{\varnothing, 0_+, 1_+, 2_+, 0_-, 1_-, 2_-\}$ be a label set and W_* for each $* \in I$ be seven copies of $V \times \mathbb{C}^3$ with coordinates $(\alpha, x_*) = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2; x_*^0, x_*^1, x_*^2) \in W_*$. Then we define the space $\mathbb{E}$ by gluing W_* via the following identification equations

$$x_{i_+}^{i+1} = 1/x_\varnothing^{i+1}, \quad x_{i_+}^{i} = x_\varnothing^{i+1}\bigl(-\alpha_i - x_\varnothing^{i+1} x_\varnothing^{i}\bigr), \quad x_{i_+}^{i-1} + x_{i_+}^{i} + x_{i_+}^{i+1} = x_\varnothing^{i-1} + x_\varnothing^{i} + x_\varnothing^{i+1}$$

for $(\alpha, x_\varnothing) \in W_\varnothing$ and $(\alpha, x_{i_+}) \in W_{i_+}$, and

$$x_{i_-}^{i-1} = 1/x_\varnothing^{i-1}, \quad x_{i_-}^{i} = x_\varnothing^{i-1}\bigl(+\alpha_i - x_\varnothing^{i-1} x_\varnothing^{i}\bigr), \quad x_{i_-}^{i-1} + x_{i_-}^{i} + x_{i_-}^{i+1} = x_\varnothing^{i-1} + x_\varnothing^{i} + x_\varnothing^{i+1}$$

for $(\alpha, x_\varnothing) \in W_\varnothing$ and $(\alpha, x_{i_-}) \in W_{i_-}$, namely,

$$\mathbb{E} = \Bigl(\bigsqcup_{*\in I} W_*\Bigr) \Big/ \sim,$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation generated by the above equations. Here $x_\varnothing$ shall be considered as the original coordinate system f in (2.1). Then we see that $x_{1_-} = u$ and $x_{1_+} = v$, where u and v are the coordinate systems defined by (2.5) and (2.7), respectively. We denote by π_V the natural projection $\pi_V \colon \mathbb{E} \to V$ and let $\mathbb{E}(\alpha) := \pi_V^{-1}(\alpha)$ be the fiber of $\mathbb{E}$ over $\alpha \in V$.

For $(\alpha, x_*) \in W_*$ ($* \in I$), let $[(\alpha, x_*)]$ be its equivalence class. Define the subset U_* of $\mathbb{E}$ by

$$U_* := \{\, [(\alpha, x_*)] \,; (\alpha, x_*) \in W_* \,\}.$$

Then there are coordinate mappings φ_* from U_* to W_*, namely,

$$\varphi_* \colon p \in U_* \mapsto \bigl(\alpha_0(p), \alpha_1(p), \alpha_2(p); x_*^0(p), x_*^1(p), x_*^2(p)\bigr) \in W_* = V \times \mathbb{C}^3$$

for each $* \in I$. Note that α_i and x_*^i are here considered to be coordinate functions. Let $\mathbb{E}_\varnothing$ and $\mathbb{E}_i$ ($i = 0,1,2$) be the subsets of $\mathbb{E}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\varnothing := U_\varnothing, \quad \mathbb{E}_i &:= U_{i_+} \setminus U_\varnothing = (\varphi_{i_+})^{-1}\bigl(\{x_{i_+}^{i+1} = 0\}\bigr) \\ &= U_{i_-} \setminus U_\varnothing = (\varphi_{i_-})^{-1}\bigl(\{x_{i_-}^{i-1} = 0\}\bigr). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbb{E}_\varnothing \cong V \times \mathbb{C}^3$, $\mathbb{E}_i \cong V \times \mathbb{C}^2$ and the space $\mathbb{E}$ is decomposed as

$$\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_\varnothing \sqcup \mathbb{E}_0 \sqcup \mathbb{E}_1 \sqcup \mathbb{E}_2.$$

Each $\mathbb{E}_i$ ($i = 0,1,2$) is the parameter space of the corresponding 2-parameter family of meromorphic solutions of type (i) and $\mathbb{E}_\varnothing$ is that of the 3-parameter family of holomorphic solutions of type $(\varnothing)$ of the system (2.1).

The system of differential equations (2.1) defines a vector field

$$X_p = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \Bigl(x_\varnothing^i(p)\bigl(x_\varnothing^{i+1}(p) - x_\varnothing^{i+2}(p)\bigr) + \alpha_i(p) \Bigr) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\varnothing^i} \right)_p, \quad p \in \mathbb{E}(\alpha) \cap U_\varnothing$$

for each $\alpha \in V$. As we have already shown, the vector field can be holomorphically extended to $\mathbb{E}(\alpha)$.

We next observe how Bäcklund transformations act on the space $\mathbb{E}$. For $w \in \widetilde{W}\bigl(A_2^{(1)}\bigr)$, we define σ_w from $\mathbb{E}$ to itself by

$$(\alpha_j \circ \sigma_w)(p) = (w(\alpha_j))(p), \quad \bigl(x_*^j \circ \sigma_w\bigr)(p) = \bigl(w\bigl(x_*^j\bigr)\bigr)(p) \quad (p \in \mathbb{E},\ j = 0,1,2)$$

for any $* \in I$ as far as the right-hand sides are defined for p. Here $w\big(x_*^j\big)$ $(j = 0, 1, 2)$ are rational functions of $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and $x_* = (x_*^0, x_*^1, x_*^2)$ determined by $w\colon \mathbb{C}(\alpha, f) \to \mathbb{C}(\alpha, f)$ and the isomorphism from $\mathbb{C}(\alpha, f)$ to $\mathbb{C}(\alpha, x_*)$.

We can verify that σ_w is birational and $\sigma_{w'} \circ \sigma_w = \sigma_{ww'}$ for $w, w' \in \widetilde{W}\big(A_2^{(1)}\big)$. Note that $\widetilde{W}\big(A_2^{(1)}\big)$ is generated by s_0, s_1, s_2 and π, and that σ_π is obviously extended to a biholomorphic mapping from $\mathbb{E}(\alpha)$ to $\mathbb{E}(\pi(\alpha))$, which maps $\mathbb{E}_*$ $(* = \varnothing, 0, 1, 2)$ as

$$\sigma_\pi(\mathbb{E}_\varnothing) = \mathbb{E}_\varnothing, \quad \sigma_\pi(\mathbb{E}_0) = \mathbb{E}_1, \quad \sigma_\pi(\mathbb{E}_1) = \mathbb{E}_2, \quad \sigma_\pi(\mathbb{E}_2) = \mathbb{E}_0.$$

Therefore we now consider $\sigma_i := \sigma_{s_i}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ in more detail. Let $i = 0, 1, 2$ be fixed. We can verify that σ_i can be extended to a biholomorphic mapping from $\mathbb{E}(\alpha)$ to $\mathbb{E}(s_i(\alpha))$ for any $\alpha \in V$ and its images of $\mathbb{E}_*$ $(* = \varnothing, 0, 1, 2)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(\mathbb{E}_\varnothing \setminus D_i) = \mathbb{E}_\varnothing \setminus D_i, \quad &\sigma_i(\mathbb{E}'_\varnothing \cap D_i) = \mathbb{E}'_i, \quad \sigma_i(\mathbb{E}_{i\pm 1}) = \mathbb{E}_{i\pm 1} \\ &\sigma_i(\mathbb{E}'_i) = \mathbb{E}'_\varnothing \cap D_i, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}'_* := \mathbb{E}_* \setminus \pi_V^{-1}(\{\alpha_i = 0\}), \quad D_i := (\varphi_\varnothing)^{-1}\big(\{x_\varnothing^i = 0\}\big).$$

These assertions are verified by direct calculation using

$$s_i(x_*^j) = \begin{cases} x_*^j + \dfrac{\alpha_i}{x_*^i} u_{ij} & (* = \varnothing, i_+, i_-), \\ x_*^j & \big(* = (i-1)_+, (i+1)_-\big), \end{cases}$$

where u_{ij} are given by (1.3).

We remark that such relations, say $\sigma_1(\mathbb{E}'_1) = \mathbb{E}'_\varnothing \cap D_1$, explain the correspondence of the meromorphic solutions of type (1) and the holomorphic solutions of type $(\varnothing)$. σ_1 maps the integral curve through a point of $\mathbb{E}'_1$ to an integral curve through a point of $\mathbb{E}'_\varnothing \cap D_1$.

3. FORMAL MEROMORPHIC SOLUTIONS OF THE SYSTEM $\big(A_4^{(1)}\big)$

In this section, we obtain all formal meromorphic solutions of the system of differential equations with affine Weyl group symmetry of type $A_4^{(1)}$:

$$f'_i = f_i(f_{i+1} - f_{i+2} + f_{i+3} - f_{i+4}) + \alpha_i \quad (i = 0, \ldots, 4). \tag{3.1}$$

We show that (i) the order of the pole of every formal solution is one, (ii) there are fifteen families of such formal solutions, and (iii) five of them contain four arbitrary constants and the other ten contain three arbitrary constants.

We proceed in the same manner as Subsection 2.1 of the case of type $A_2^{(1)}$. Let $f = (f_0, \ldots, f_4)$ be a formal solution of the form

$$f_i = \sum_{n=-r}^{\infty} c_n^i T^n, \quad T := t - t_0 \quad (i = 0, \ldots, 4) \tag{3.2}$$

where r is a positive integer. Direct substitution of these series into (3.1) gives

$$n c_n^i = \sum_{k=-r}^{n+r-1} c_k^i G_{n-k-1}^i + \delta_{0,n-1}\alpha_i \quad (i = 0, \ldots, 4) \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$G_n^i = \sum_{k=1}^{4} (-1)^{k-1} c_n^{i+k} = c_n^{i+1} - c_n^{i+2} + c_n^{i+3} - c_n^{i+4}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *If f_i has a pole at $t = t_0$ then the order of the pole is one, i.e., $r = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $r > 1$. Consider a series of equations (3.3) for $n = -2r+1, -2r+2, \dots, -r$. The first equation $0 = c^i_{-r}\, G^i_{-r}$ $(i = 0, \dots, 4)$ has the following solutions up to cyclic rotation

$$c_{-r} = \bigl(c^0_{-r}, \dots, c^4_{-r}\bigr) = (a,0,0,0,0),\ (a,a,a,0,0),\ (a,a,0,-a,0),\ (a,a,a,a,a)$$

where $a \neq 0$. It is verified that $G^i_{-r} + c^i_{-r} \neq 0$ for each $i = 0, \dots, 4$ and each solution c_{-r}. Therefore, as in Subsection 2.1, the remaining equations yield that $c^i_{-r} = 0$ implies $c^i_{-1} = 0$ and $c^i_{-r} \neq 0$ implies $G^i_{-1} = -r$ for each $i = 0, \dots, 4$. Then, in each case of c_{-r}, we derive the contradiction that $r = 0$ as follows:

(i) In the case of $c_{-r} = (a,0,0,0,0)$, we deduce that $c^1_{-1} = \cdots = c^4_{-1} = 0$ and $G^0_{-1} = -r$. Then it follows that $r = 0$ from $G^0_{-1} = c^1_{-1} - c^2_{-1} + c^3_{-1} - c^4_{-1}$.

(ii) In the case of $c_{-r} = (a,a,a,0,0)$, we have $G^0_{-1} = G^1_{-1} = G^2_{-1} = -r$, $c^3_{-1} = c^4_{-1} = 0$ and $G^0_{-1} + G^1_{-1} + G^2_{-1} = c^3_{-r} + c^4_{-r}$, and hence $r = 0$.

(iii) In the case of $c_{-r} = (a,a,0,-a,0)$, we have $G^0_{-1} = G^1_{-1} = G^3_{-1} = -r$, $c^2_{-1} = c^4_{-1} = 0$ and $G^0_{-1} + G^1_{-1} - G^3_{-1} = c^2_{-r} - c^4_{-r}$, and hence $r = 0$.

(iv) In the case of $c_{-r} = (a,a,a,a,a)$, we have $G^0_{-1} = \cdots = G^4_{-1} = -r$ and $G^0_{-1} + \cdots + G^4_{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^4 \sum_{k=1}^4 (-1)^{k-1} c_n^{i+k} = 0$, and hence $r = 0$. □

Let us now determine the coefficients c_n $(n \geqslant -1)$ of the expansion (3.2) from (3.3). For $n = -1$, the equations (3.3) are written by

$$(-1)c^i_{-1} = c^i_{-1}\bigl(c^{i+1}_{-1} - c^{i+2}_{-1} + c^{i+3}_{-1} - c^{i+4}_{-1}\bigr) \quad (i = 0, \dots, 4)$$

which has fifteen solutions $c_{-1} = \bigl(c^0_{-1}, \dots, c^4_{-1}\bigr)$, each of which equals to one of

$$(-1,0,1,0,0),\ (-1,0,0,0,1),\ (-1,-3,0,3,1),$$

by suitable cyclic rotations.

For $n \geqslant 0$, the equations (3.3) with respect to c_n are written by the following linear system

$$(n - G^i_{-1})c^i_n - c^i_{-1}\bigl(c^{i+1}_n - c^{i+2}_n + c^{i+3}_n - c^{i+4}_n\bigr) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c^i_k\, G^i_{n-k-1} + \delta_{0,n-1}\alpha_i. \quad (i = 0, \dots, 4)$$

Let

$$P_n := \begin{bmatrix} n - G^0_{-1} & -c^0_{-1} & c^0_{-1} & -c^0_{-1} & c^0_{-1} \\ c^1_{-1} & n - G^1_{-1} & -c^1_{-1} & c^1_{-1} & -c^1_{-1} \\ -c^2_{-1} & c^2_{-1} & n - G^2_{-1} & -c^2_{-1} & c^2_{-1} \\ c^3_{-1} & -c^3_{-1} & c^3_{-1} & n - G^3_{-1} & -c^3_{-1} \\ -c^4_{-1} & c^4_{-1} & -c^4_{-1} & c^4_{-1} & n - G^4_{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then c_n is uniquely determined by $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}$ unless $\det P_n = 0$. Since P_n only depends on n and c_{-1}, it suffices to consider the above three typical cases of the values of c_{-1}.

(i) The case of $c_{-1} = (-1,0,1,0,0)$: We have

$$\det P_n = (n+2)n^3(n-2)$$

and we have the relations $c^1_0 = 0$, $c^4_0 - c^0_0 + c^2_0 - c^3_0 = 0$ among $c^0_0, \dots, c^4_0$. In this case the system has a formal meromorphic solution of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= -\frac{1}{T} + c^0_0 + \frac{1}{3}\Bigl[2\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 - \bigl(c^0_0\bigr)^2 + 2\bigl(c^2_0\bigr)^2 - 2c^2\Bigr]\, T + O(T^2), \\ f_1 &= \qquad\qquad - \alpha_1 T + c^1_2\, T^2 + O(T^3), \\ f_2 &= \frac{1}{T} + c^2_0 + \frac{1}{3}\Bigl[\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 + \bigl(c^2_0\bigr)^2 - 2\bigl(c^0_0\bigr)^2 + 2c^2\Bigr]\, T + O(T^2), \\ f_3 &= \qquad \bigl(c^2_0 + c\bigr) + \Bigl[\alpha_3 - \bigl(c^2_0\bigr)^2 + c^2\Bigr]\, T + O(T^2), \\ f_4 &= \qquad \bigl(c^0_0 + c\bigr) + \Bigl[\alpha_4 + \bigl(c^0_0\bigr)^2 - c^2\Bigr]\, T + O(T^2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $c := c_0^4 - c_0^0 = c_0^3 - c_0^2$. This formal solution depends on four arbitrary constants $c_0^0, c_0^2, c_0^3, c_2^1$ and therefore defines a 4-parameter family of formal meromorphic solutions.

(ii) The case of $c_{-1} = (-1, 0, 0, 0, 1)$: We have

$$\det P_n = (n+2)^2 n (n-2)^2$$

and obtain a formal solution containing three arbitrary constants c_0^0, c_2^1, c_2^3 written by

$$\begin{aligned}
f_0 &= -\frac{1}{T} + c_0^0 + \frac{1}{3}\Big[2\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 - \big(c_0^0\big)^2\Big] T + O(T^2), \\
f_1 &= -\alpha_1 T + c_2^1 T^2 + O(T^3), \\
f_2 &= \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2 T + O(T^3), \\
f_3 &= -\alpha_3 T + c_2^3 T^2 + O(T^3), \\
f_4 &= \frac{1}{T} + c_0^0 + \frac{1}{3}\Big[\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \big(c_0^0\big)^2\Big] T + O(T^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

This is a 3-parameter family of formal meromorphic solutions.

(iii) The case of $c_{-1} = (-1, -3, 0, 3, 1)$: We have

$$\det P_n = (n+4)(n+2)n(n-2)(n-4)$$

and get a formal solution including three arbitrary constants c_0^0, c_2^3, c_4^2 written by

$$\begin{aligned}
f_0 &= -\frac{1}{T} + c_0^0 + \frac{1}{3}\Big[2\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 - \big(c_0^0\big)^2\Big] T - c_2^3 T^2 + O(T^3), \\
f_1 &= -\frac{3}{T} + \frac{1}{5}\Big[\alpha_0 - 2\alpha_1 - 5\alpha_2 - 3\alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - 2\big(c_0^0\big)^2\Big] T \\
&\qquad + \Big[c_2^3 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)c_0^0\Big] T^2 + O(T^3), \\
f_2 &= -\frac{1}{3}\alpha_2 T + \frac{1}{45}\alpha_2\Big[-\alpha_0 - 3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + 2\big(c_0^0\big)^2\Big] T^3 + c_4^2 T^4 + O(T^5), \\
f_3 &= \frac{3}{T} - \frac{1}{5}\Big[\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - 2\big(c_0^0\big)^2\Big] T + c_2^3 T^2 + O(T^3), \\
f_4 &= \frac{1}{T} + c_0^0 + \frac{1}{3}\Big[\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \big(c_0^0\big)^2\Big] T \\
&\qquad - \Big[c_2^3 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)c_0^0\Big] T^2 + O(T^3).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

This is another 3-parameter family of solutions.

As the system of type $A_2^{(1)}$, we denote the coefficients $c_{-1} = \big(c_{-1}^0, \ldots, c_{-1}^4\big)$ by $\operatorname{Res} f = (\operatorname{Res} f_0, \ldots, \operatorname{Res} f_4)$. The above results are stated as:

Proposition 3.2. *The system* (3.1) *has fifteen families of formal solutions* $f = (f_0, \ldots, f_4)$ *with simple pole and the types of the formal solutions are determined by* $\operatorname{Res} f$.

The fifteen families of formal meromorphic solutions are divided into five 4-parameter families and ten 3-parameter families. We further classify the families from the viewpoint of the actions of Bäcklund transformations.

Let f be the formal solution with $\operatorname{Res} f = (-1, -3, 0, 3, 1)$, i.e., of case (iii). Substituting it into $g_i = s_2(f_i)$ $(i = 0, \ldots, 4)$, namely into

$$g_0 = f_0, \quad g_1 = f_1 - \frac{\alpha_2}{f_2}, \quad g_2 = f_2, \quad g_3 = f_3 + \frac{\alpha_2}{f_2}, \quad g_4 = f_4,$$

TABLE 2. Classification of the families of solutions of the system $\left(A_4^{(1)}\right)$

type	$\operatorname{Res} f$	corresponding BT	# of arbitrary constants
$(\varnothing)$	$(0,0,0,0,0)$	id	5
(1)	$(-1,0,1,0,0)$	s_1	4
(2)	$(0,-1,0,1,0)$	s_2	
(3)	$(0,0,-1,0,1)$	s_3	
(4)	$(1,0,0,-1,0)$	s_4	
(0)	$(0,1,0,0,-1)$	s_0	
(13)	$(-1,0,0,0,1)$	s_3s_1	3
(24)	$(1,-1,0,0,0)$	s_4s_2	
(30)	$(0,1,-1,0,0)$	s_0s_3	
(41)	$(0,0,1,-1,0)$	s_1s_4	
(02)	$(0,0,0,1,-1)$	s_2s_0	
(132)	$(-1,-3,0,3,1)$	$s_2s_3s_1$	3
(243)	$(1,-1,-3,0,3)$	$s_3s_4s_2$	
(304)	$(3,1,-1,-3,0)$	$s_4s_0s_3$	
(410)	$(0,3,1,-1,-3)$	$s_0s_1s_4$	
(021)	$(-3,0,3,1,-1)$	$s_1s_2s_0$	

we obtain the series expansion

$$g_0 = -\frac{1}{T} + O(1), \qquad g_1 = -(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)T + O(T^2),$$
$$g_2 = -\frac{1}{3}\alpha_2 T + O(T^3), \qquad g_3 = -(\alpha_3+\alpha_2)T + O(T^2), \qquad g_4 = \frac{1}{T} + O(1),$$

which is the formal solution with $\operatorname{Res} g = (-1,0,0,0,1)$ of the system (3.1) with parameter $s_2(\alpha) = (s_2(\alpha_0),\dots,s_2(\alpha_4))$. Note that this does not hold in the case of $\alpha_2 = 0$, since $g = f$ in that case. Let us denote this fact as

$$\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,-3,0,3,1) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Res} s_2(f) = (-1,0,0,0,1) \quad \text{if } \alpha_2 \neq 0.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,0,0,1) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Res} s_3(f) = (-1,0,1,0,0) \quad \text{if } \alpha_3 \neq 0,$$
$$\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,0,0,1) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Res} s_1(f) = (0,0,-1,0,1) \quad \text{if } \alpha_1 \neq 0,$$
$$\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,1,0,0) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Res} s_1(f) = (0,0,0,0,0) \quad \text{if } \alpha_1 \neq 0.$$

Here $\operatorname{Res} s_1(f) = (0,0,0,0,0)$ means that $s_1(f)$ is a formal holomorphic solution. Hence, generically, each formal meromorphic solution can be transformed into a holomorphic solution of the system (3.1) with different parameter by an appropriate Bäcklund transformation. Therefore it is convenient to distinguish each family of formal meromorphic solutions assigning to it a Bäcklund transformation expressed as a product of s_i $(i = 0,\dots,4)$. For instance, we assign Bäcklund transformations s_1, s_3s_1 and $s_2s_3s_1$ to the families of formal meromorphic solutions with $\operatorname{Res} f = (-1,0,1,0,0)$, $(-1,0,0,0,1)$ and $(-1,-3,0,3,1)$, respectively. We also say simply that they are of type (1), (13) and (132), respectively. Such classification of all the families of formal meromorphic solutions of (3.1) is given in Table 2, where the family of holomorphic solutions is denoted as type $(\varnothing)$. The position t_0 of pole is not counted into the arbitrary constants as before.

4. COORDINATES FOR FORMAL MEROMORPHIC SOLUTIONS OF THE SYSTEM $\left(A_4^{(1)}\right)$

Now we shall prove the convergence of the formal meromorphic solutions obtained in the preceding section. This section is devoted to the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Any formal meromorphic solution of the system* (3.1) *converges.*

The idea of the proof is almost the same as the case of the system of type $A_2^{(1)}$ described in Subsection 2.2: we will introduce appropriate change of variables so that the system (3.1) is transformed to a holomorphic system and the arbitrary constants contained in the formal meromorphic solution of (3.1) are considered as a initial condition of the holomorphic solution of the converted system.

While the system (3.1) has the fifteen families of formal meromorphic solutions, we discuss the three typical families of type (1), (13) and (132) in sequence. The convergence of the solutions of the remaining types can be shown by the rotations of indices.

4.1. **The family of type (1).** Let $f = (f_0, \dots, f_4)$ be the formal meromorphic solution of type (1). Then the series expansion of f is the form of (3.4), and f_0 has simple pole at $t = t_0$ while f_1 has a simple zero. We note that $1/f_0$ has a simple zero and $f_0 f_1$ has no pole:

$$f_0 f_1 = \alpha_1 - \left(c_2^1 + \alpha_1 c_0^0\right) T + O(T^2).$$

Since $\alpha_1 - f_0 f_1$ has a simple zero, multiplying f_0, we have the expansion

$$f_0(\alpha_1 - f_0 f_1) = \left(c_2^1 + \alpha_1 c_0^0\right) + O(T),$$

which has no terms of negative power of T but has the constant term containing the arbitrary constant c_2^1. We also note that $f_0 + f_2$ has no pole, even though f_2 has a simple pole. Therefore we introduce the following transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_0 &= 1/f_0, \\
u_1 &= f_0(\alpha_1 - f_0 f_1), \\
u_0 + u_2 &= f_0 + f_2, \\
u_3 &= f_3, \\
u_0 + \cdots + u_4 &= f_0 + \cdots + f_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We can verify that this biholomorphic mapping from $\mathbb{C}^5 \setminus \{f_0 = 0\}$ to $\mathbb{C}^5 \setminus \{u_0 = 0\}$ transforms the system (3.1) to the system

$$\begin{aligned}
u_0' &= 2u_0^3 u_1 - (\alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 - 1)u_0^2 + (u_1 + u_2 - u_3 + u_4)u_0 - 1, \\
u_1' &= -3u_0^2 u_1^2 + (2\alpha_0 + 4\alpha_1 - 1)u_0 u_1 - (u_1 + u_2 - u_3 + u_4)u_1 - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)\alpha_1, \\
u_2' &= -2u_0^3 u_1 + (\alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 - 1)u_0^2 - (u_1 + u_2 - u_3 + u_4)u_0 \\
&\qquad + (u_3 - u_4 - u_1)(u_0 + u_2) - 2u_0 u_1 + \alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1, \\
u_3' &= (u_4 - u_0 + u_1 - u_2)u_3 + \alpha_3, \\
u_4' &= 3u_0^2 u_1^2 - (2\alpha_0 + 4\alpha_1 - 1)u_0 u_1 + (u_1 + u_2 - u_3 + u_4)u_1 + (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)\alpha_1 \\
&\qquad + (u_4 + u_1)(u_0 + u_2 - u_3) + 2u_0 u_1 + \alpha_4 - \alpha_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Here notice that $u_0' + \cdots + u_4' = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$.

Since the right-hand sides of the above equations are polynomials in $u = (u_0, \dots, u_4)$, there exists a unique holomorphic solution $u = u(t)$ with the initial condition $u(t_0) = (u_0(t_0), \dots, u_4(t_0)) = (0, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4)$, where h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 are arbitrary complex numbers. Let F be a polynomial of u such that $F u_0 - 1$ is the right-hand side of the first equation in (4.2), namely, $u_0' = F u_0 - 1$. Then it follows that $F|_{u_0=0} = u_1 + u_2 - u_3 + u_4$, and hence, setting $k = F(t_0) = F(u(t_0)) = h_1 + h_2 - h_3 + h_4$, we have

$$u_0'(t_0) = F(t_0)\, u_0(t_0) - 1 = -1, \quad u_0''(t_0) = F'(t_0)\, u_0(t_0) + F(t_0)\, u_0'(t_0) = k.$$

Therefore the Taylor expansion of $u_0 = u_0(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
u_0 = u_0(t) &= 0 + u_0'(t_0)\, T + \tfrac{1}{2} u_0''(t_0)\, T^2 + O(T^3) \\
&= -T\left(1 + \tfrac{1}{2} k\, T + O(T^2)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have the Taylor expansion of $u_1, \dots, u_4$ as

$$u_1 = h_1 + O(T), \quad u_2 = h_2 + O(T), \quad u_3 = h_3 + O(T), \quad u_4 = h_4 + O(T),$$

and, from (4.1), we see that the transform $f = (f_0, \dots, f_4)$ of $u = (u_0, \dots, u_4)$ is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= 1/u_0 = -\tfrac{1}{T} + \tfrac{1}{2}k + O(T), \\ f_1 &= u_0(\alpha_1 - u_0 u_1) \\ &= -T\left(1 + \tfrac{1}{2}k\,T + O(T^2)\right) \cdot \left(\alpha_1 + h_1 T + O(T^2)\right) \\ &= -\alpha_1 T - \left(h_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_1 k\right) T^2 + O(T^3), \\ f_2 &= u_0 + u_2 - 1/u_0 = \tfrac{1}{T} + \left(h_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}k\right) + O(T), \\ f_3 &= u_3 = h_3 + O(T), \\ f_4 &= (u_1 + u_4) - f_1 = h_1 + h_4 + O(T). \end{aligned}$$

This expansion of f coincides formally with the formal meromorphic solution (3.4) of (3.1) under the change of the constants

$$c_0^0 = \tfrac{1}{2}k, \quad c_2^1 = -h_1 - \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_1 k, \quad c_0^2 = h_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}k, \quad c_0^3 = h_3, \quad c_0^4 = h_1 + h_4 = k - h_2 + h_3.$$

Hence the formal meromorphic solution (3.4) must converge.

4.2. **The family of type (13).** First, transform the series (3.5) of type (13) formally by (4.1), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= -T - c_0^0 T^2 + O(T^3), \\ u_1 &= -\left(c_2^1 + \alpha_1 c_0^0\right) + O(T), \\ u_0 + u_2 &= -\tfrac{1}{T} + c_0^0 + O(T), \\ u_3 &= \qquad - \alpha_3 T + c_2^3 T^2 + O(T^3), \\ u_1 + u_4 &= \tfrac{1}{T} + c_0^0 + O(T). \end{aligned}$$

The variable u_1 already contains the arbitrary constant c_2^1 in its constant term, so we need to pull out the c_2^3 appearing in u_3. Noting that $u_0 + u_2$ has a simple pole while u_3 has a simple zero, we construct Taylor expansions successively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (u_0 + u_2)u_3 &= \alpha_3 - \left(c_2^3 + \alpha_3 c_0^0\right) T + O(T^2), \\ (u_0 + u_2)(\alpha_3 - (u_0 + u_2)u_3) &= -\left(c_2^3 + \alpha_3 c_0^0\right) + O(T). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we introduce the following transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= u_0, \\ v_1 &= u_1, \\ v_0 + v_2 &= 1/(u_0 + u_2), \\ v_3 &= (u_0 + u_2)(\alpha_3 - (u_0 + u_2)u_3), \\ v_0 + \dots + v_4 &= u_0 + \dots + u_4. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Then we can verify that the transformation from $f = (f_0, \dots, f_4)$ to $v = (v_0, \dots, v_4)$ is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= 1/f_0, \\ v_1 &= f_0 f_1(\alpha_1 - f_0 f_1), \\ v_0 + v_2 &= 1/(f_0 + f_2), \\ v_3 &= (f_0 + f_2)(\alpha_3 - (f_0 + f_2)f_3), \\ v_0 + \dots + v_4 &= f_0 + \dots + f_4, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

and the variable v satisfies the system

$$\begin{aligned}
v_0' &= 2v_0^3v_1 - (\alpha_0+2\alpha_1)v_0^2 + 2v_0(v_0+v_2)((v_0+v_2)v_3-\alpha_3)\\
&\quad + v_0(v_0+v_2) + v_0(v_1+v_3+v_4) - 1,\\
v_1' &= -3v_0^2v_1^2 + 2(\alpha_0+2\alpha_1)v_0v_1 - 2v_1(v_0+v_2)((v_0+v_2)v_3-\alpha_3)\\
&\quad - v_1(v_0+v_2) - v_1(v_1+v_3+v_4) - (\alpha_0+\alpha_1)\alpha_1,\\
v_0'+v_2' &= 2(v_0+v_2)^2(2v_0v_1-\alpha_0-2\alpha_1+2(v_0+v_2)v_3-\alpha_2-2\alpha_3)\\
&\quad + (v_0+v_2)^2 + (v_1+v_3+v_4)(v_0+v_2) - 1,\\
v_3' &= -3(v_0+v_2)^2v_3^2 - 4v_0v_1(v_0+v_2)v_3 + 2(\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)(v_0+v_2)v_3\\
&\quad - (v_0+v_2)v_3 - (v_1+v_3+v_4)v_3 + 2\alpha_3v_0v_1 - (\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\alpha_3,\\
v_0'+\cdots+v_4' &= \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
v_2' &= 2(v_0+v_2)((v_0+v_2)v_3-\alpha_3)v_2\\
&\quad + (2v_0+v_2)(2(v_0v_1-\alpha_1)-(\alpha_0+\alpha_2))v_2 + (v_0+v_2)v_2\\
&\quad + (v_1+v_3+v_4)v_2 - \alpha_0v_0^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let $v=v(t)$ be the unique holomorphic solution with

$$v(t_0) = (v_0(t_0),\ldots,v_4(t_0)) = (0,h_1,0,h_3,h_4).$$

Then we have

$$v_0'(t_0) = -1, \quad v_0''(t_0) = -k; \quad v_2'(t_0) = v_2''(t_0) = 0, \quad v_2^{(3)}(t_0) = -2\alpha_2, \quad v_2^{(4)} = -8\alpha_2k,$$

where $k := h_1+h_3+h_4$. This gives the Taylor expansion of v as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_0 = -T\left(1+\tfrac{1}{2}k\,T+O(T^2)\right), && v_1 = h_1+O(T),\\
&v_2 = -\tfrac{1}{3}\alpha_2T^3(1+k\,T)+O(T^5), && v_3 = h_3+O(T), \qquad v_4(t) = h_4+O(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$v_0+v_2 = -T\left(1+\tfrac{1}{2}k\,T+O(T^2)\right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_0 &= 1/v_0 = -\tfrac{1}{T}+\tfrac{1}{2}k+O(T),\\
f_1 &= v_0(\alpha_1-v_0v_1) = -\alpha_1T-\left(h_1+\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_1k\right)T^2+O(T^3),\\
f_2 &= \frac{1}{v_0+v_2}-\frac{1}{v_0} = \frac{-v_2}{v_0(v_0+v_2)} = \tfrac{1}{3}\alpha_2T+0\cdot T^2+O(T^3),\\
f_3 &= (v_0+v_2)(\alpha_3-(v_0+v_2)v_3) = -\alpha_3T-\left(h_3+\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_3k\right)T^2+O(T^3),\\
f_4 &= (v_0+\cdots+v_4)-(f_1+\cdots+f_4) = \tfrac{1}{T}+\tfrac{1}{2}k+O(T).
\end{aligned}$$

The formal meromorphic solution (3.5) formally coincides with this meromorphic solutions if

$$c_0^0 = \tfrac{1}{2}k, \quad c_2^1 = -h_1-\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_1k, \quad c_2^3 = -h_3-\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_3k,$$

and hence the formal solution (3.5) must be convergent.

4.3. **The family of type (132).**

We need transform the formal meromorphic solutions (3.6) by the change of variables (4.4) and observe the arbitrary constants c_0^0, c_2^3 and c_4^2, which will appear in the expansion of $v=(v_0,\ldots,v_4)$.

First of all, we observe that

$$v_0 = 1/f_0 = -T-c_0^0T^2+\tfrac{1}{3}\Big[2\alpha_0+3\alpha_1+5\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4+2\big(c_0^0\big)^2\Big]T^3+O(T^4),$$

and v_0 has a simple zero. Secondly, from

$$\begin{aligned} v_3 &= (f_0+f_2)(\alpha_3-(f_0+f_2)f_3) \\ &= -\tfrac{3}{T^3}\Big(1-2c_0^0T-\tfrac{1}{5}\Big[7\alpha_0+11\alpha_1+15\alpha_2+9\alpha_3+3\alpha_4-9\big(c_0^0\big)^2\Big]T^2 \\ &\qquad -\Big[\tfrac{1}{15}(22\alpha_0+36\alpha_1+50\alpha_2+29\alpha_3+8\alpha_4)c_0^0-\tfrac{14}{5}\big(c_0^0\big)^3+7c_2^3\Big]T^3\Big)+O(T), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $1/v_3$ has a zero of order three. We will select v_0 and $1/v_3$ as coordinates with initial value 0. Since

$$\begin{aligned} v_1+v_3 &= f_0(\alpha_1-f_0f_1)+(f_0+f_2)(\alpha_3-(f_0+f_2)f_3) \\ &= f_0(\alpha_1+\alpha_3-f_0(f_1+f_3)-2f_2f_3)+f_2(\alpha_3-f_2f_3) \\ &= \big[\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)c_0^0-2c_2^3\big]+O(T), \end{aligned}$$

the value of the arbitrary constant c_2^3 can be recovered from the initial value of v_1+v_3. For the remaining arbitrary constant c_4^2, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= \frac{1}{f_0+f_2}-\frac{1}{f_0}=\frac{-f_2}{f_0(f_0+f_2)} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{3}\alpha_2T^3+\tfrac{2}{3}\alpha_2c_0^0T^4+\tfrac{1}{15}\alpha_2\Big[7\alpha_0+11\alpha_1+15\alpha_2+9\alpha_3+3\alpha_4+11\big(c_0^0\big)^2\Big]T^5 \\ &\quad +\Big[\tfrac{1}{45}\alpha_2\big((62\alpha_0+96\alpha_1+135\alpha_2+84\alpha_3+28\alpha_4)c_0^0+26\big(c_0^0\big)^3-30c_2^3\big)-c_4^2\Big]T^6 \\ &\quad +O(T^7), \end{aligned}$$

and then write it as

$$\begin{aligned} v_2+c_4^2T^6 &= \tfrac{1}{3}\alpha_2T^3\Big(1+2c_0^0T+\tfrac{1}{5}\Big[7\alpha_0+11\alpha_1+15\alpha_2+9\alpha_3+3\alpha_4+11\big(c_0^0\big)^2\Big]T^2 \\ &\qquad +\tfrac{1}{15}\Big[(62\alpha_0+96\alpha_1+135\alpha_2+84\alpha_3+28\alpha_4)c_0^0+26\big(c_0^0\big)^3-30c_2^3\Big]T^3\Big) \\ &\quad +O(T^7). \end{aligned}$$

Then, multiplying v_3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (v_2+c_4^2T^6)v_3 &= -\alpha_2\Big(1+0\cdot T+0\cdot T^2+\tfrac{1}{3}\Big[c_2^3+(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)c_0^0\Big]T^3\Big)+O(T^4), \\ &= -\alpha_2-\tfrac{1}{3}\alpha_2\Big[c_2^3+(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)c_0^0\Big]T^3+O(T^4). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $c_4^2T^6\cdot v_3=-3c_4^2T^3+O(T^4)$, we have

$$v_2v_3=-\alpha_2-\Big[\tfrac{1}{3}\alpha_2\big(c_2^3+(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)c_0^0\big)-3c_4^2\Big]T^3+O(T^4),$$

and hence $-\alpha_2-v_2v_3$ has a zero of order three.

Thus we are now prepared to define the following transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= v_0, \\ w_1+w_3 &= v_1+v_3, \\ w_2 &= v_3(-\alpha_2-v_2v_3), \\ w_3 &= 1/v_3, \\ w_0+\cdots+w_4 &= v_0+\cdots+v_4. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

We can verify that the system for w is of the following holomorphic form:

$$\begin{aligned}
w_0' &= 2w_0^3(w_1+w_3) - (\alpha_0+2\alpha_1)w_0^2 - 4w_0^2w_2w_3 - 2(2\alpha_2+\alpha_3)w_0^2 \\
&\quad + 2w_0w_3(w_2w_3+\alpha_2)(w_2w_3+\alpha_2+\alpha_3) \\
&\quad + w_0(w_0+w_2+w_4) + w_0(w_1+w_3) - 1, \\
w_1'+w_3' &= -2(w_1+w_3)w_3(w_2w_3+\alpha_2)(w_2w_3+\alpha_2+\alpha_3) \\
&\quad - 3w_0^2(w_1+w_3)^2 + 8w_0(w_1+w_3)w_2w_3 - w_2^2w_3^2 \\
&\quad + 2(\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+4\alpha_2+2\alpha_3)w_0(w_1+w_3) - 2(\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3)w_2w_3 \\
&\quad - 2w_0w_2 - (w_0+w_2+w_4)(w_1+w_3) - (w_1+w_3)^2 \\
&\quad - (\alpha_0+\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3)(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3) + \alpha_2(\alpha_2+\alpha_3), \\
w_2' &= -w_2w_3(w_2w_3+\alpha_2)(w_2w_3+\alpha_2+\alpha_3) \\
&\quad + [w_2w_3(w_2w_3+\alpha_2) + w_2w_3(w_2w_3+\alpha_2+\alpha_3) \\
&\qquad\quad + (w_2w_3+\alpha_2)(w_2w_3+\alpha_2+\alpha_3) - 2w_0w_2] \\
&\qquad \times [2w_0(w_1+w_3) - w_2w_3 - (\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3)] \\
&\quad - (w_0+w_2+w_4)w_2 - (w_1+w_3)w_2, \\
w_3' &= w_3^2(w_2w_3+\alpha_2)(w_2w_3+\alpha_2+\alpha_3) \\
&\quad - [w_3^2(2w_2w_3+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3) - 2w_0w_3] \\
&\qquad \times [2w_0(w_1+w_3) - w_2w_3 - (\alpha_0+2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3)] \\
&\quad + (w_0+w_2+w_4)w_3 + (w_1+w_3)w_3 - w_0^2, \\
w_0'+\cdots+w_4' &= \alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $w = w(t)$ be a unique holomorphic solution of the above system with

$$w(t_0) = (w_0(t_0), \ldots, w_4(t_0)) = (0, h_1, h_2, 0, h_4).$$

Then, by a tedious calculation, we can obtain the expansion of w as

$$\begin{aligned}
w_0 &= -T\left(1 + \tfrac{1}{2}k\,T + a_2\,T^2 + a_3\,T^3 + O(T^4)\right), \qquad w_1 = h_1 + O(T), \qquad w_2 = h_2 + O(T), \\
w_3 &= -\tfrac{1}{3}T^3\left(1 + k\,T + b_2\,T^2 + b_3\,T^3 + O(T^4)\right), \qquad w_4 = h_4 + O(T),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
k &= h_1+h_2+h_4, \\
a_2 &= \tfrac{1}{6}k^2 + \tfrac{1}{3}(2\alpha_0+3\alpha_1+5\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+\alpha_4), \\
a_3 &= \tfrac{1}{24}k^3 + \tfrac{1}{2}h_1 + \tfrac{1}{24}(13\alpha_0+21\alpha_1+37\alpha_2+21\alpha_3+5\alpha_4)k, \\
b_2 &= \tfrac{11}{20}k^2 + \tfrac{1}{5}(7\alpha_0+11\alpha_1+15\alpha_2+9\alpha_3+3\alpha_4), \\
b_3 &= \tfrac{13}{60}k^3 + \tfrac{7}{6}h_1 + \tfrac{1}{120}(213\alpha_0+349\alpha_1+485\alpha_2+281\alpha_3+77\alpha_4)k.
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.1), (4.3) and (4.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
f_0 &= 1/w_0 = -\tfrac{1}{T} + \tfrac{1}{2}k + O(T),\\
f_1 &= w_0(\alpha_1 - w_0 w_1) - w_0^2 w_3 + w_0^2/w_3\\
&= -\tfrac{3}{T} + 0 - \left[\tfrac{1}{5}(-\alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) + \tfrac{1}{10}k^2\right] T\\
&\quad - \left[\tfrac{1}{2}h_1 + \tfrac{1}{8}k(\alpha_0 + 5\alpha_1 + 9\alpha_2 + 5\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)\right] T^2 + O(T^3),\\
f_2 &= \frac{1}{w_0 - w_3(w_3 w_2 + \alpha_2)} - \frac{1}{w_0} = \frac{w_3(w_3 w_2 + \alpha_2)}{w_0(w_0 - w_3(w_3 w_2 + \alpha_2))}\\
&= -\tfrac{1}{3}\alpha_2 T + 0 \cdot T^2 - \tfrac{1}{3}\alpha_2\left[\tfrac{1}{15}(\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + 0\alpha_2 - 3\alpha_3 - 4\alpha_4) - \tfrac{1}{30}k^2\right] T^3\\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{9}\left[h_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_2 h_1 + \tfrac{1}{8}\alpha_2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2 + 5\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)k\right] T^4 + O(T^5),\\
f_3 &= -w_0^2/w_3 + w_0(2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2w_2 w_3) - w_3(\alpha_2 + w_2 w_3)(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + w_2 w_3)\\
&= \tfrac{3}{T} + 0 - \tfrac{1}{5}\left[\alpha_0 + 3\alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \tfrac{1}{2}k^2\right] T\\
&\quad + \left[\tfrac{1}{8}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)k - \tfrac{1}{2}h_1\right] T^2 + O(T^3),\\
f_4 &= \tfrac{1}{T} + \tfrac{1}{2}k + O(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the same argument as in the preceding subsections, the formal meromorphic solutions (3.6) converge. The relations between the arbitrary constants in (3.6) and h_1, h_2, h_4 are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_0^0 = \tfrac{1}{2}k, \quad c_2^3 = -\tfrac{1}{2}h_1 + \tfrac{1}{8}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)k,\\
&c_4^2 = \tfrac{1}{9}h_2 - \tfrac{1}{18}\alpha_2 h_1 + \tfrac{1}{72}\alpha_2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2 + 5\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)k.
\end{aligned}$$

5. Augmentation of the phase space of the system $\left(A_4^{(1)}\right)$

We define a fiber space $\mathbb{E}$ over the parameter space

$$V = \{\, \alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_4) \in \mathbb{C}^5 \,;\, \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_4 = 1 \,\},$$

of which each fiber $\mathbb{E}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in V$ is the augmented phase space of the system (3.1). Then we observe how Bäcklund transformations act on the space $\mathbb{E}$.

First, we define the space $\mathbb{E}$ and give its properties. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
I = \bigl\{&\varnothing, 0_+, 1_+, \dots, 4_+, 02_+, 13_+, \dots, 41_+, 021_+, 132_+, \dots, 410_+,\\
&0_-, 1_-, \dots, 4_-, 02_-, 13_-, \dots, 41_-, 021_-, 132_-, \dots, 410_-\bigr\},
\end{aligned}$$

be a label set and let W_* $(* \in I)$ be thirty-one copies of $V \times \mathbb{C}^5$ with coordinate system $(\alpha, x_*) = \bigl(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_4; x_*^0, \dots, x_*^4\bigr) \in W_*$. We will define identifying relations among W_*. In order to express identifying relations in simple form, we use some auxiliary mappings.

Let $\Psi_{i_+}, \Psi_{i_-}, \overline{\Psi}_{i_+}, \overline{\Psi}_{i_-}$ $(i = 0, \dots, 4)$ be birational mappings given by $\Psi_{i_\pm}(\alpha, \xi) = (\alpha, \eta)$ and $\overline{\Psi}_{i_\pm}(\alpha, \overline{\xi}) = (\alpha, \overline{\eta})$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta^{i\pm1} = 1/\xi^{i\pm1}, \quad \eta^i \eta^{i\pm1} = \mp\alpha_i - \xi^i \xi^{i\pm1},\\
&\qquad \eta^{i\pm1} + \eta^{i\mp1} = \xi^{i\pm1} + \xi^{i\mp1}, \quad \eta^{i\mp2} = \xi^{i\mp2}, \ \sum_j \eta^j = \sum_j \xi^j;\\
&\overline{\eta}^{i\pm3} = \overline{\xi}^{i\pm3}, \quad \overline{\eta}^{i\pm2} = \overline{\xi}^{i\pm2}, \quad \overline{\eta}^{i\pm3} + \overline{\eta}^{i\pm1} = 1/(\overline{\xi}^{i\pm3} + \overline{\xi}^{i\pm1}),\\
&\qquad \overline{\eta}^i(\overline{\eta}^{i\pm3} + \overline{\eta}^{i\pm1}) = \mp\alpha_i - \overline{\xi}^i(\overline{\xi}^{i\pm3} + \overline{\xi}^{i\pm1}), \quad \sum_j \overline{\eta}^j = \sum_j \overline{\xi}^j.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we define the space $\mathbb{E}$ by gluing W_* via the following identifying equations among coordinates (α, x_*) and $(\alpha, x_\varnothing)$

$$\Psi_{i_+}(\alpha, x_\varnothing) = (\alpha, x_{i_+}), \quad \overline{\Psi}_{i-1_+}(\alpha, x_{i+1_+}) = (\alpha, x_{i-1,i+1_+}), \quad \Psi_{i_+}(\alpha, x_{i-1,i+1_-}) = (\alpha, x_{i-1,i+1,i_+}),$$
$$\Psi_{i_-}(\alpha, x_\varnothing) = (\alpha, x_{i_-}), \quad \overline{\Psi}_{i+1_-}(\alpha, x_{i-1_-}) = (\alpha, x_{i-1,i+1_-}), \quad \Psi_{i_-}(\alpha, x_{i-1,i+1_+}) = (\alpha, x_{i-1,i+1,i_-}).$$

That is,

$$\mathbb{E} = \left(\bigsqcup_{* \in I} W_* \right) \Big/ \sim,$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation generated by the above equations. Here, we notice that the coordinate systems in (4.1), (4.3) and (4.5) are written as

$$f = x_\varnothing, \quad u = x_{1_-}, \quad v = x_{13_-}, \quad w = x_{132_+}$$

in our new notation.

In the same way as in Subsection 2.3, we define the subset U_* of $\mathbb{E}$ for each $* \in I$ and coordinate mappings

$$\varphi_* \colon p \in U_* \mapsto \big(\alpha_0(p), \dots, \alpha_4(p); x_*^0(p), \dots, x_*^4(p)\big) \in W_* = V \times \mathbb{C}^5 \quad (* \in I).$$

Then the space $\mathbb{E}$ is described by the atlas $\{(\varphi_*, U_*)\}$. We also have the natural projection $\pi_V \colon \mathbb{E} \to V$. The fiber $\mathbb{E}(\alpha) := \pi_V^{-1}(\alpha)$ is a five dimensional complex manifold for each $\alpha \in V$.

Now we see that the space $\mathbb{E}$ is decomposed into the disjoint union of subsets, each of which corresponds to a family of meromorphic solutions. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\varnothing &= U_\varnothing, \\
\mathbb{E}_1 &= U_{1_-} \setminus U_\varnothing = (\varphi_{1_-})^{-1}\big(\{x_{1_-}^0 = 0\}\big) \\
&= U_{1_+} \setminus U_\varnothing = (\varphi_{1_+})^{-1}\big(\{x_{1_+}^2 = 0\}\big), \\
\mathbb{E}_{13} &= U_{13_-} \setminus U_\varnothing = (\varphi_{13_-})^{-1}\big(\{x_{13_-}^0 = x_{13_-}^2 = 0\}\big) \\
&= U_{13_+} \setminus U_\varnothing = (\varphi_{13_+})^{-1}\big(\{x_{13_+}^4 = x_{13_+}^2 = 0\}\big), \\
\mathbb{E}_{132} &= U_{132_-} \setminus U_\varnothing = (\varphi_{132_-})^{-1}\big(\{x_{132_-}^0 = x_{132_-}^3 = 0\}\big) \\
&= U_{132_+} \setminus U_\varnothing = (\varphi_{132_+})^{-1}\big(\{x_{132_+}^4 = x_{132_+}^1 = 0\}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}_\varnothing \cong V \times \mathbb{C}^5, \ \mathbb{E}_1 \cong V \times \mathbb{C}^4, \ \mathbb{E}_{13} \cong V \times \mathbb{C}^3, \ \mathbb{E}_{132} \cong V \times \mathbb{C}^3.$$

Similarly, we define the following subspaces by cyclic rotation

$$\mathbb{E}_2, \mathbb{E}_3, \mathbb{E}_4, \mathbb{E}_0; \mathbb{E}_{24}, \mathbb{E}_{30}, \mathbb{E}_{41}, \mathbb{E}_{02}; \mathbb{E}_{243}, \mathbb{E}_{304}, \mathbb{E}_{410}, \mathbb{E}_{021}.$$

Then the space $\mathbb{E}$ is decomposed by these subsets as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_\varnothing &\sqcup \mathbb{E}_0 \sqcup \mathbb{E}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{E}_4 \\
&\sqcup \mathbb{E}_{02} \sqcup \mathbb{E}_{13} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{E}_{41} \\
&\sqcup \mathbb{E}_{021} \sqcup \mathbb{E}_{132} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{E}_{410}.
\end{aligned}$$

The study of Section 4 shows:

Theorem 5.1. *The vector field*

$$X_p = \sum_{i=0}^{4} \Big(x_\varnothing^i(p) \big(x_\varnothing^{i+1}(p) - x_\varnothing^{i+2}(p) + x_\varnothing^{i+3}(p) - x_\varnothing^{i+4}(p) \big) + \alpha_i(p) \Big) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\varnothing^i} \right)_p$$

defined on $\mathbb{E}(\alpha) \cap U_\varnothing$ *extends to the entire fiber* $\mathbb{E}(\alpha)$ *as a holomorphic vector field.*

Secondly, we investigate how Bäcklund transformations act on the space $\mathbb{E}$. For any $w \in \widetilde{W}\bigl(A_4^{(1)}\bigr)$, we define a birational mapping σ_w from $\mathbb{E}$ to itself by

$$(\alpha_j \circ \sigma_w)(p) = (w(\alpha_j))(p), \quad \bigl(x_*^j \circ \sigma_w\bigr)(p) = \bigl(w\bigl(x_*^j\bigr)\bigr)(p), \quad p \in \mathbb{E},\ j = 0, \dots, 4$$

for any $* \in I$. Since $\sigma_{w'} \circ \sigma_w = \sigma_{ww'}$ for $w, w' \in \widetilde{W}\bigl(A_4^{(1)}\bigr)$, and since $\widetilde{W}\bigl(A_4^{(1)}\bigr)$ is generated by $s_0, \dots, s_4$ and π, we need only to study $\sigma_i := \sigma_{s_i}$ $(i = 0, \dots, 4)$ and σ_π.

We first note that:

Theorem 5.2. *For any $w \in \widetilde{W}\bigl(A_4^{(1)}\bigr)$, σ_w is a biholomorphic mapping from $\mathbb{E}(\alpha)$ to $\mathbb{E}(w(\alpha))$ for any $\alpha \in V$, and the vector field X is invariant by σ_w.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show the proposition for $w = s_0, \dots, s_4, \pi$ and these special cases can be shown by explicit calculation. By use of the coordinates u, v and w defined in the preceding section, we can verify that

$$s_i(u_j) = \begin{cases} u_j + \dfrac{\alpha_i}{u_i} u_{ij}, & i = 1, 3, \\ u_j, & i = 0. \end{cases} \qquad \bigl(u_j = x_{1_-}^j\bigr)$$

$$s_i(v_j) = \begin{cases} v_j + \dfrac{\alpha_i}{v_i} u_{ij}, & i = 1, 2, 3, \\ v_j, & i = 0. \end{cases} \qquad \bigl(v_j = x_{13_-}^j\bigr)$$

$$s_i(w_j) = \begin{cases} w_j + \dfrac{\alpha_i}{w_i} u_{ij}, & i = 2, \\ w_j, & i = 0, 3. \end{cases} \qquad \bigl(w_j = x_{132_+}^j\bigr)$$

The remaining coordinates can be computed similarly. □

Remark 5.3. For any $\alpha \in V$ and $w \in \widetilde{W}\bigl(A_4^{(1)}\bigr)$, the augmented phase spaces $\mathbb{E}(\alpha)$ and $\mathbb{E}(w(\alpha))$ are isomorphic.

Now we study how the mapping σ_i $(i = 0, \dots, 4)$ acts on each component of the decomposition of $\mathbb{E}$. We observe here only the case of $i = 2$, since the other cases are obtained by cyclic rotations. Let

$$D_2 = \overline{\bigl\{x_\varnothing^2 = 0\bigr\}} = \bigl\{x_\varnothing^2 = 0\bigr\} \cup \bigl\{x_{0_-}^2 = 0\bigr\} \cup \bigl\{x_{4_+}^2 = 0\bigr\} \cup \bigl\{x_{13_-}^2 = 0\bigr\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}'_* = \mathbb{E}_* \setminus \pi_V^{-1}(\{\alpha_2 = 0\}).$$

Here $(\varphi_*)^{-1}\bigl(\bigl\{x_*^2 = 0\bigr\}\bigr)$ is simply denoted by $\bigl\{x_*^2 = 0\bigr\}$ and the closure is that in the space $\mathbb{E}$. Then the points of $\mathbb{E} \setminus \pi_V^{-1}(\{\alpha_2 = 0\})$ are mapped as follows:

$$\begin{gathered}
\sigma_2(\mathbb{E}_\varnothing \setminus D_2) = \mathbb{E}_\varnothing \setminus D_2, \quad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}'_\varnothing \cap D_2) = \mathbb{E}'_2, \qquad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}'_2) = \mathbb{E}'_\varnothing \cap D_2, \\
\sigma_2(\mathbb{E}_0 \setminus D_2) = \mathbb{E}_0 \setminus D_2, \quad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}'_0 \cap D_2) = \mathbb{E}'_{02}, \quad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}'_{02}) = \mathbb{E}'_0 \cap D_2, \\
\sigma_2(\mathbb{E}_4 \setminus D_2) = \mathbb{E}_4 \setminus D_2, \quad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}'_4 \cap D_2) = \mathbb{E}'_{24}, \quad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}'_{24}) = \mathbb{E}'_4 \cap D_2, \\
\sigma_2(\mathbb{E}'_{13} \cap D_2) = \mathbb{E}'_{132}, \qquad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}'_{132}) = \mathbb{E}'_{13} \cap D_2, \\
\sigma_2(\mathbb{E}_1) = \mathbb{E}_1, \quad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}_{41}) = \mathbb{E}_{41}, \quad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}_{410}) = \mathbb{E}_{410}, \quad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}_{021}) = \mathbb{E}_{021}, \\
\sigma_2(\mathbb{E}_3) = \mathbb{E}_3, \quad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}_{30}) = \mathbb{E}_{30}, \quad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}_{304}) = \mathbb{E}_{304}, \quad \sigma_2(\mathbb{E}_{243}) = \mathbb{E}_{243}.
\end{gathered}$$

These relationships explain the correspondence between the types of meromorphic solutions and the Bäcklund transformations.

To end this section, we remark that the space $\mathbb{E}$ contains the augmented phase space for the system $\bigl(A_2^{(1)}\bigr)$ as a submanifold with an appropriate restriction. In fact, setting $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$, the system (3.1) can be restricted to $f_3 = f_4 = 0$. Therefore $\overline{(\varphi_\varnothing)^{-1}\bigl(\bigl\{\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = x_\varnothing^3 = x_\varnothing^4 = 0\bigr\}\bigr)} \subset \mathbb{E}$ is isomorphic to the fiber space for the system $\bigl(A_2^{(1)}\bigr)$.

6. The $A_4^{(1)}$ Hamiltonian system

The differential system (3.1) is equivalent to the Hamiltonian system, as is shown in [3]. This is shown by introducing a new coordinate system $(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2; t)$ in the original phase space $\{ f \in \mathbb{C}^5 \}$ fixing $f_0 + \cdots + f_4 = t$. Then the system (3.1) is written as a Hamiltonian system

$$\frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \quad \frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

with a polynomial Hamiltonian function H of p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2 and t. We can also choose a coordinate system of each U_* for $* \in I$ so that the transformation from the coordinate system of $U_\varnothing$ to that of U_* is symplectic. This means that the Hamiltonian system in $U_\varnothing$ extends to the whole space $\mathbb{E}$.

We list below the Hamiltonian functions H_* for $* = \varnothing,\ 1_+,\ 1_-,\ 13_+,\ 13_-,\ 132_+,\ 132_-$, where the canonical coordinates in U_* is denoted by the same notation (p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) for simplicity. These canonical coordinates are written by the original coordinates f of the system (3.1) as well as by our new coordinates x_*. Note that we fix $x_*^0 + \cdots + x_*^4 = t$ in every case.

The Hamiltonian $H_\varnothing$ in $U_\varnothing$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\varnothing = {} & (t - q_1 - p_1)q_1p_1 + (t - q_2 - p_2)q_2p_2 - 2q_1p_1q_2 \\ & - \alpha_1 q_1 + \alpha_2 p_1 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)q_2 + \alpha_4 p_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered} p_1 = f_1,\ q_1 = f_2,\ p_2 = f_1 + f_3,\ q_2 = f_4; \\ (p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) = (x_\varnothing^1, x_\varnothing^2, x_\varnothing^1 + x_\varnothing^3, x_\varnothing^4). \end{gathered}$$

The Hamiltonian H_{1_+} in U_{1_+} :

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1_+} = {} & (t - q_1 - p_1)q_1p_1 - t(q_2p_2 + \alpha_1) - q_2(q_2p_2 + \alpha_1)(q_2p_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \\ & + 2p_1q_2p_2 + (\alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)p_1 - \alpha_4 q_1 + p_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered} p_1 = f_4,\ q_1 = f_0 + f_2,\ p_2 = f_2(-\alpha_1 - f_1f_2),\ q_2 = 1/f_2; \\ (p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) = (x_{1_+}^4, x_{1_+}^0 + x_{1_+}^2, x_{1_+}^1, x_{1_+}^2). \end{gathered}$$

The Hamiltonian H_{1_-} in U_{1_-} :

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1_-} = {} & (t - q_2 - p_2)q_2p_2 - t(q_1p_1 - \alpha_1) - p_1(\alpha_1 - q_1p_1)(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 - q_1p_1) \\ & + 2q_1p_1q_2 + q_1 - (\alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)q_2 + \alpha_3 p_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered} p_1 = 1/f_0,\ q_1 = f_0(\alpha_1 - f_0f_1),\ p_2 = f_0 + f_2,\ q_2 = f_3; \\ (p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) = (x_{1_-}^0, x_{1_-}^1, x_{1_-}^0 + x_{1_-}^2, x_{1_-}^3). \end{gathered}$$

The Hamiltonian H_{13_+} in U_{13_+} :

$$\begin{aligned} H_{13_+} = {} & - q_1(q_1p_1 + \alpha_1)(q_1p_1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2) - q_2(q_2p_2 + \alpha_3)(q_2p_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \\ & - q_1(q_1p_1 + \alpha_1)(2q_2p_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) - t(q_1p_1 + \alpha_1 + q_2p_2 + \alpha_3) + p_1 + p_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered} p_1 = (f_2 + f_4)(-\alpha_1 - (f_2 + f_4)f_1),\ q_1 = 1/(f_2 + f_4),\ p_2 = f_4(-\alpha_3 - f_3f_4),\ q_2 = 1/f_4; \\ (p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) = (x_{13_+}^1, x_{13_+}^2 + x_{13_+}^4, x_{13_+}^3, x_{13_+}^4). \end{gathered}$$

The Hamiltonian H_{13_-} in U_{13_-} :

$$\begin{aligned} H_{13_-} = {} & - p_1(\alpha_1 - q_1p_1)(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 - q_1p_1) - p_2(\alpha_3 - q_2p_2)(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - q_2p_2) \\ & - p_2(\alpha_3 - q_2p_2)(\alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 - 2q_1p_1) + t(\alpha_1 - q_1p_1 + \alpha_3 - q_2p_2) + q_1 + q_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_1=1/f_0,\ q_1=f_0(\alpha_1-f_0f_1),\ p_2=1/(f_0+f_2),\ q_2=(f_0+f_2)(\alpha_3-(f_0+f_2)f_3);$$

$$(p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2)=(x^0_{13_-},x^1_{13_-},x^0_{13_-}+x^2_{13_-},x^3_{13_-}).$$

The Hamiltonian H_{132_+} in U_{132_+} :

$$\begin{aligned}H_{132_+}=\,&q_2(q_2p_2+\alpha_2)(q_2p_2+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)(\alpha_0+2\alpha_1-2q_1p_1+q_2p_2+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\\&-p_1(\alpha_1-q_1p_1+q_2p_2+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3)(\alpha_0+\alpha_1-q_1p_1+q_2p_2+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\\&-p_1(q_2p_2(\alpha_0+2\alpha_1-2q_1p_1)-\alpha_2(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)+p_1p_2)\\&+t(\alpha_1-q_1p_1+q_2p_2+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)+q_1,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_1=1/f_0,\ q_1-1/q_2=f_0(\alpha_1-f_0f_1),$$

$$q_2(-\alpha_2-q_2p_2)+p_1=1/(f_0+f_2),\ 1/q_2=(f_0+f_2)(\alpha_3-(f_0+f_2)f_3);$$

$$(p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2)=(x^0_{132_+},x^1_{132_+}+x^3_{132_+},x^2_{132_+},x^3_{132_+}).$$

The Hamiltonian H_{132_-} in U_{132_-} :

$$\begin{aligned}H_{132_-}=&-p_1(\alpha_2-q_1p_1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2-q_1p_1)(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2-q_1p_1+2q_2p_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)\\&-q_2(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2-q_1p_1+q_2p_2+\alpha_3)(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2-q_1p_1+q_2p_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)\\&+q_2(q_1p_1(2q_2p_2+2\alpha_3+\alpha_4)+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\alpha_2-q_1q_2)\\&-t(\alpha_1+\alpha_2-q_1p_1+q_2p_2+\alpha_3)+p_2,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$1/p_1=(f_2+f_4)(-\alpha_1-(f_2+f_4)f_1),\ p_1(\alpha_2-p_1q_1)+q_2=1/(f_2+f_4),$$

$$p_2-1/p_1=f_4(-\alpha_3-f_3f_4),\ q_2=1/f_4;$$

$$(p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2)=(x^1_{132_-},x^2_{132_-},x^1_{132_-}+x^3_{132_-},x^4_{132_-}).$$

Acknowledgment. The author express his sincere gratitude to Professor Kyoichi Takano who read this paper carefully and gave helpful advice and encouragement.

References

[1] T. Matano, A. Matumiya and K. Takano, On some Hamiltonian structures of Painlevé systems, II, J. Math. Soc. Japan **51** (1999), 843–866.

[2] M. Noumi, K. Takano and Y. Yamada, Bäcklund transformations and the manifolds of Painlevé systems, Funkcial. Ekvac. **45** (2002), 237–258.

[3] M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, Higher order Painlevé equations of type $A_l^{(1)}$, Funkcial. Ekvac. **41** (1998), 483–503, `arXiv:math.QA/9808003`.

[4] K. Okamoto, Sur les feuilletages associés aux équation du second ordre à points critiques fixes de P. Painlevé—Espaces des conditions initiales, Japan. J. Math. **5** (1979), 1–79.

[5] K. Okamoto, On the τ-function of the Painlevé equations, Physica D **2** (1981), 525–535.

[6] P. Painlevé, Mémoire sur les équations différentielles dont l'integrale générale est uniforme, Bull. Soc. Math. France **28** (1900), 201–261.

[7] H. Watanabe, On the defining variety and birational canonical transformations of the fourth Painlevé equation, Funkcial. Ekvac. **42** (1999), 1–7.

Nobuhiko Tahara
Graduate School of Science and Technology
Kobe University
Rokko, Kobe
657-8501 Japan
(E-mail: `tahara@math.kobe-u.ac.jp`)